```
L6U1GEOC
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
 3
     GEO-GROUP COMMUNICATIONS,
      INC.,
 4
                     Plaintiff,
5
                                               15 Civ. 1756 (KPF)
                v.
6
     VIPIN SHAH,
 7
                    Defendant.
                                              Conference
8
                                               New York, N.Y.
9
                                               June 30, 2021
                                               1:03 p.m.
10
      Before:
11
                        HON. KATHERINE POLK FAILLA,
12
                                               District Judge
13
                                APPEARANCES
14
      LOREE & LOREE
15
          Attorneys for Plaintiff
      BY: PHILIP J. LOREE, JR., ESQ.
16
     MANDEL BHANDARI LLP
17
           Attorneys for Defendant
      BY: RISHI BHANDARI, ESQ.
           SHOMIK GHOSH, ESQ.
18
     ALSO PRESENT: GOVIND VANJANI, President, Geo-Group
19
                     VIPIN SHAH, Defendant
20
                     NISHANT SHAH
                     VISHAL SHAH
21
22
23
24
25
```

(Case called)

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Will counsel please state your name for the record, starting with plaintiff.

MR. LOREE: Philip Loree, Jr., of Loree & Loree law firm, for the plaintiff Geo-Group.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

MR. GHOSH: Good afternoon, your Honor. Shomik Ghosh on behalf of defendant Vipin Shah from Mandel Bhandari LLP, joined by my colleague, Rishi Bhandari.

THE COURT: Good afternoon to you, and good afternoon to all three Mr. Shahs who are present here.

Mr. Vanjani, good afternoon to you, sir.

MR. VANJANI: Good afternoon.

THE COURT: Thank you, sir. And you're welcome to be seated. Thank you.

MR. VANJANI: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: So we're here, and this was a meeting I wasn't sure we were having, but we are. And so I have looked again at the *in limine* motions that were filed; I've looked again at just my own rules for conducting bench trials, the joint pretrial order, and I do have some questions for the parties.

Before I did that, I guess I wanted to satisfy for myself that settlement discussions are indeed dead. And so what I was going to propose to you, which the parties can say

no to, is I wanted to speak with each of counsel in the robing room without the other counsel present for just a period of a couple of minutes, but I would understand if you didn't want to do that because if those discussions failed, I would be the trier of fact in the bench trial in two weeks. I'm really only doing this because this case affects the next two weeks of my life workwise, and yours as well, and I guess I was a little bit surprised as to how things resolved themselves. But it's entirely up to you.

Mr. Loree, do you want to speak with me for a few minutes or would you prefer not to, sir?

MR. LOREE: Well, I would, with Govind Vanjani's -- if that would be okay.

THE COURT: For the first round, sir, I was just going to speak with counsel, but I was going to bring clients in if we made it to a second round. But again, if this is something you don't wish to do, I won't do it.

MR. LOREE: Do you have any objection?

MR. VANJANI: Of what?

MR. LOREE: Doing the meeting.

THE COURT: I'll let you speak with him privately.

Take the time you need.

While you're doing that, Mr. Ghosh is going to tell me his view.

MR. GHOSH: Your Honor, we welcome the opportunity to

speak with you. If you'd like to have a few minutes to discuss, we'd love that.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me see what your adversaries think. And again, I don't want to waste anyone's time.

Mr. Loree?

MR. LOREE: Yes, we'll proceed on that basis, yes.

THE COURT: Let me do that. Let me just install myself in the robing room, and we'll get to you in just a moment. Thank you.

MR. LOREE: Thank you, your Honor.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise.

(Recess)

THE COURT: Mr. Loree, is there news to report?

MR. LOREE: Yes, your Honor. The parties have reached a settlement agreement of this action, based on a slightly revised version of the settlement agreement and mutual release that was exchanged at 1:30 a.m. by me to the other side -- the Bhandari firm and Mr. Shah -- and the parties have looked at the minor changes that I've made, and I will record them on the record, if that works for you, and we can say that we unequivocally have an agreement based on that draft with the changes. And is that acceptable for you, your Honor?

THE COURT: That's fine. I mean, I'm happy knowing that there is an agreement, that there's a written document that currently embodies that agreement, and that it is the

intention of the parties to make these final edits to the document and sign it as promptly as possible. If that's the case, then I don't think you need to read every bit of it into the record. But I want to understand that there is a written agreement that exists. It's your understanding, sir, there is.

MR. LOREE: Yes, there is.

THE COURT: One moment, please.

Mr. Vanjani, you've seen this written agreement, sir.

It is your intention to sign it once these edits are made?

MR. VANJANI: Yes, your Honor. Thank you so much.

THE COURT: Thank you, sir.

And Mr. Ghosh, there's a document that I have seen, sir, and it's being handed to you right now. It is what I understand to be a 1:30 a.m. draft of the settlement agreement with some handwritten annotations, I believe most of which have been accepted by you, and I had a discussion with you about the one that may not have been. Is that the settlement agreement, sir?

MR. GHOSH: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And is it your understanding that that settlement agreement will be sent to you in the next 24 to 48 hours for your client's signature?

MR. GHOSH: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And is there anything about the agreement that you wish to put on the record at this point?

MR. GHOSH: Your Honor, we plan on executing it promptly upon delivery with the edits that the two parties have made to the document, and that's it.

THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Shah, are you familiar with the written document to which I've been referring?

MR. VIPIN SHAH: I already did, but since I actually --

THE COURT: I'm sorry, sir. I cannot hear you.

You're welcome to sit down if it's easier, because the

microphone covers make it difficult to hear. I thank your son
in advance for helping you with that.

All right. So your attorney has placed before you a written settlement agreement. Have you seen that document before?

MR. VIPIN SHAH: I saw it in the morning.

THE COURT: You saw it in the morning. Are you aware of the changes, the small changes that have been proposed to it, sir?

MR. VIPIN SHAH: No, I didn't know yet.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Ghosh, I think I want to know that your client is going to sign this agreement with the changes. Do you want to speak to him about what they are?

MR. GHOSH: Your Honor, we certainly can. I think we had an understanding, generally, that the changes are acceptable. I'm happy to take a minute to discuss with

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Shah himself if you'd like, but I can represent I think that the changes are acceptable, but --

THE COURT: Just given the progress of these negotiations, I would like the one minute of your time with Mr. Shah and his son, and I'd like you then to come back and tell me that your client is going to sign that document once it comes to him in edited form. Take that time, sir. I'll stay right here.

MR. GHOSH: Absolutely.

(Mr. Ghosh conferring with Mr. Shah)

THE COURT: Mr. Ghosh.

MR. GHOSH: Your Honor, I apologize that was longer than a minute.

THE COURT: Not at all, sir. What I care about is that you've had the time you need.

MR. GHOSH: I think Mr. Shah can answer any question.

MR. VIPIN SHAH: Yeah, he just make me understand what about the changes.

THE COURT: And is it your intention, sir, when you see the final version of the document, to sign that document?

MR. VIPIN SHAH: Yes.

THE COURT: Thank you.

I again just want to underscore something I said earlier. First of all, I want to extend my appreciation to all counsel involved in this, including the one who just left for

another matter, because I do appreciate the time that you spent looking at this case and trying to find a resolution short of trial.

I am willing to accept jurisdiction in this case for the enforcement of this matter, and I just want to be clear what that means and does not mean. It means that I am here if something goes wrong with the settlement, and I expect that everything will go right with the settlement, so I hope that you and I will not be talking to each other about this case again, but I can make no guarantees to the parties about what this review of electronic materials will reveal, what has and has not been preserved or not preserved, and what causes of action result from that. I'm just here to ensure that the agreement that the parties have reached in good faith is also carried out in good faith.

Mr. Loree, let me please ask you one other question, and I'll ask the same of Mr. Ghosh. It is Wednesday. It is Wednesday afternoon. Should I take this bench trial off of my calendar and begin scheduling for that week, or should I wait until tomorrow when you tell me that this is all signed?

MR. LOREE: I think that you could do it now because I intend to sign it, and you all intend to sign it, correct?

MR. GHOSH: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: They're all nodding their heads.

MR. LOREE: Yes, your Honor.

MR. GHOSH: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Then I will take this off of my trial calendar, and you have my thanks in that regard. I'm sure every case behind yours also thanks you. We've been here for a while now. I don't want to keep you longer. Thank you. Be well. And I hope to only hear successful things about this settlement. Thank you. ALL PARTICIPANTS: Thank you very much, your Honor. THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise.